

Appl. No. 10/795,825  
Amdt. dated Oct. 11, 2004  
Reply to Office action of July 13, 2004

### REMARKS

In the Office Action of July 13, 2004, claims 1 and 5-8 were rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,710,628, and also U.S. Patent No. 6,492,844. Although Applicants do not necessarily agree that the instant claims are obvious in view of the 6,710,628 and 6,492,844 claims, two terminal disclaimers are submitted herewith to overcome the double patenting rejections.

The Examiner also objected to claim 1 because a period was needed at the end of the claim. Said period is added with this Amendment.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants request allowance of claims 1 and 5-8.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayment to the deposit account of McAndrews, Held & Malloy, Account No. 13-0017.

Date: October 12, 2004

Respectfully submitted,



John A. Wiberg  
Reg. No. 44,401  
Attorney for applicants

McANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.  
500 W. Madison, Suite 3400  
Chicago, IL 60661  
Telephone: (312) 775-8000